	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	
4	:
	CYNTHIA RUSSO, LISA :
5	BULLARD, RICARDO : Civil No. 17-cv-2246
	GONZALES, INTERNATIONAL :
6	BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL :
	WORKERS LOCAL 38 HEALTH :
7	AND WELFARE FUND, :
	INTERNATIONAL UNION :
8	OF OPERATING ENGINEERS :
	LOCAL 295-295C WELFARE :
9	FUND, AND STEAMFITTERS :
	FUND LOCAL 439, on :
10	Behalf of Themselves :
	and All Others Similarly :
11	Situated, :
	:
12	Plaintiffs, :
	:
13	v. :
	:
14	WALGREEN CO., :
	:
15	Defendant. :
	:
16	
	Friday, August 11, 2023
17	
18	Deposition of LYNETTE HILTON, Ph.D.,
19	taken virtually via Zoom, with the witness
20	participating the offices of Econ ONE, 550 South
21	Hope Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, California,
22	beginning at 9:03 a.m. PDT, before Ryan K. Black,
23	Registered Professional Reporter, Certified
24	Livenote Reporter and Notary Public in and for
25	the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Page 22 1 Α. The exclusions in Paragraph 13 a. and 2. b.? 3 Well, they -- what I'm saying is they Ο. made changes to the proposed exclusions, correct? 4 5 Α. Correct. 6 And the new proposed exclusions are listed in Paragraph 13 a. and b., correct? 7 Α. Correct. 9 You testified in your prior deposition about your understanding of the exclusions, and 10 11 now I'd like to ask you about your understanding 12 of these revised exclusions. 13 Do you believe you understand the 14 meaning of the revised exclusions? 15 Α. Yes. 16 How did you obtain your understanding? Ο. 17 Α. By both reading the exclusions and having discussions with counsel. 18 19 Let's look at Paragraph 13 a. It says Ο. 20 one of the exclusions is "All federal government 21 entities, including Medicare and Medicaid and 22 their beneficiaries, except for Medicare Part D beneficiary." 23 2.4 Did I read that accurately? 25 Yes. Α.

Page 23 So in this exclusion, it gives two 1 examples, Medicare and Medicaid. Is Medicaid a 2. 3 federal government entity? I don't have an opinion on that. 4 Α. 5 Do you understand whether states Ο. 6 administer their own Medicaid programs? I have some understanding of that, yes. 7 Α. Ο. What is your understanding, that they do 9 or they do not administer their own Medicaid 10 program? My understanding is that they're 11 12 -- they're partially funded by both state and by 13 federal governments. 14 And is it your understanding that 15 the -- that the states administer their own 16 Medicaid programs or that the federal government 17 administates -- administers the state Medicaid 18 program? 19 I'm trying to figure out what you mean 20 by "administer" versus "pay." 2.1 Well, you just said that the Medicaid 22 is both partially state-funded and partially federal-funded, correct? 23 2.4 Α. Yes. 25 New Mexico has its own Medicaid program, Ο.

Page 24 1 correct? 2. I assume so. I don't have any Α. 3 independent knowledge of that. 4 And New York, you would assume, has its Ο. 5 own Medicaid program, correct? 6 Again, I'd assume so, but I -- I don't know for sure. 7 Ο. Do you know who manages the New Mexico 9 Medicaid program? MR. ALEXANDER: Objection to form. 10 11 THE WITNESS: I don't. 12 BY MR. LEIB: 13 Ο. Do you know who administers the New 14 Mexico Medicaid program? 15 Α. I don't. 16 And that would -- your answers would be 17 the same if I asked them with regard to New York, 18 correct? 19 Correct. Α. 20 Do you understand whether states make 21 their own decisions about what benefits they will provide under Medicaid, subject to federal 22 23 quidelines? 2.4 MR. ALEXANDER: Objection to form. 25 THE WITNESS: I don't have an

	Page 25
1	understanding of that.
2	BY MR. LEIB:
3	Q. So you don't know one way or or
4	another whether Medicaid is a federal government
5	entity, correct?
6	A. I don't have any independent knowledge
7	of that, no.
8	Q. Are you aware that there's a Federal
9	Workers' Compensation plan?
10	A. I am familiar with that.
11	Q. Is that a federal government entity?
12	A. I don't have knowledge of that.
13	Q. Are you aware that there's a federal
14	employees program, sometimes called Federal
15	Employees Health Benefits Program?
16	A. I I don't have any independent
17	knowledge of that.
18	Q. Have you heard of the term FEP?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Do you understand what FEP is?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Do you know whether the FEP is a federal
23	government entity?
24	A. I do not.
25	Q. Do you know what TRICARE is?

Page 26 1 Α. I have a general understanding of TRICARE, yes. 2. 3 What is your general understanding of Ο. TRICARE? 4 5 It's that part of the government Α. benefits for its healthcare, but it's a very 6 7 general understanding. Ο. It -- did you say it's that part of the government benefits for healthcare? 9 It is a healthcare benefit provided by 10 Α. 11 the government. 12 Ο. Do you know who it's provided to? 13 Α. I just -- no. I was familiar at one 14 point, but, no. 15 Ο. Okay. I will inform you that it's 16 the uniformed services healthcare program that's 17 primarily for active-duty service members and their families, National Guard Reserve members 18 19 and their families and Medal of Honor recipients 20 and their families. 21 I see you shaking your head. Does that 22 refresh your recollection? 23 Α. Yes. 2.4 Do you know whether TRICARE is a federal Ο. 25 government entity?

Page 27 1 Α. I don't. 2. What about Veteran's Administration Ο. 3 Do you know if those are federal government entities? 4 5 Α. I don't. 6 So what is your understanding of what a 7 federal government entity is? An entity that is funded by the federal 9 government. Funded in full or -- or -- does it have 10 Q. to be funded in full by the federal government to 11 12 be a federal government entity? 13 Α. I don't have an opinion about that. 14 Based on what you just said, why is it 15 that you don't have an opinion on whether TRICARE 16 is a federal government entity? 17 MR. ALEXANDER: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I don't need to have a 18 opinion about that. It's not part of my -- it's 19 20 not necessary for my analysis or my methodology. 21 BY MR. LEIB: 22 Why is it not necessary for your 23 methodology? 2.4 Because I'm going to be -- I rely on the 25 data, on what Walgreens has characterized these

Page 31 1 Do you have an understanding of what a state government entity is? 2. 3 My understanding is it's entities that are funded by the state, or associated with the 4 state, I should say. 5 Well, what do you mean by "associated 6 7 with the state"? Some relationship to the state. 9 So they don't have to be fully funded by Q. 10 the state to be a state government entity; is that correct? 11 12 MR. ALEXANDER: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't have an opinion 14 about that. 15 BY MR. LEIB: 16 Well, you first said -- when answering 17 my question of whether you had an understanding 18 of what a state government entity is, you first said "they are funded by a state," and then you 19 said "or associated with the state." I took that 20 to mean that you were changing your answer. Are 21 22 those -- were you changing your answers or were 23 those two different things, they're either funded 2.4 by the state or they're associated with the 25 state?

Page 58 political subdivision. How do you determine if 1 something that's state-funded should, in fact, 2. 3 be included because it's a state political subdivision? 4 5 MR. ALEXANDER: Objection to form. Asked and answered. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think I did answer that. Did you want me to answer it again? BY MR. LEIB: 9 10 Q. Answer it again. 11 By including those that have Plan Type 12 Equal to Commercial, Plan Type Equal to Union and 13 Federally Funded people to "no" on those two 14 particular plan -- plan types, and then also 15 excluding plan -- or, excuse me, including 16 Plan Type Equals Medicare Part D. 17 Q. There's no actual way to know from the 18 Plan Type field in Walgreens' data whether a TPP is a state political subdivision, correct? 19 20 MR. ALEXANDER: Objection to form. 21 THE WITNESS: As I said earlier, sitting 22 here today I don't recall that there is, but that 23 would be subject to check. 2.4 BY MR. LETB: 25 And you make no attempt in your Ο.

Page 71 1 Oh, sorry. No, I don't. Α. Now, the New Mexico Public School 2. Ο. 3 Insurance Authority -- I'm going to refer to that as the NMPSIA. Okay? 4 5 Α. Okay. 6 The NMPSIA, do you have an opinion on 7 whether that is a state government entity? Α. State government entity? Only to the extent that it's not listed as a state-funded 9 10 entity under plan type, but I don't have an independent opinion. 11 12 Well, because it's not listed as a 13 state-funded entity, you would say that it's not 14 a state government entity; is that correct? 15 MR. ALEXANDER: Objection to form. 16 THE WITNESS: Correct. 17 BY MR. LEIB: 18 Do you have any opinion on whether it's a political subdivision? 19 20 Based on the class definition, it would 21 appear to fall under, you know, school district. 22 But I don't have an independent opinion. 23 Ο. What makes you feel it would fall under the -- under a school district? 2.4 25 Because it says New Mexico Public Α.

Page 72 1 Schools. But it also says Insurance Authority, 2. Ο. 3 correct? 4 Α. Correct. 5 Do you have any idea if it's actually a Ο. 6 school system? 7 MR. ALEXANDER: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not sure 9 exactly what you're asking, but I don't have an 10 opinion on what this particular entity is. BY MR. LEIB: 11 12 Ο. Did you do any reason into what this 13 particular entity is? 14 Α. No. 15 Ο. Does it matter to your opinion whether 16 or not funding came from the state general 17 coffers into this insurance authority? To the extent that Walgreens listed it 18 Α. as a state-funned entity, yes, that would matter. 19 20 I would exclude them from my analysis. 21 Why would you exclude them -- strike 22 that. I understand why you would exclude them. 23 So let me ask you this question: 2.4 not asking you whether Walgreens listed it as a 25 state-funded entity. I'm asking you if, as a

Page 106 CERTIFICATE 1 2. 3 I do hereby certify that I am a Notary Public in good standing, that the aforesaid 5 testimony was taken before me, pursuant to 6 notice, at the time and place indicated; that said deponent was by me duly sworn to tell the 7 8 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 9 truth; that the testimony of said deponent was 10 correctly recorded in machine shorthand by me and thereafter transcribed under my supervision with 11 12 computer-aided transcription; that the deposition 13 is a true and correct record of the testimony 14 given by the witness; and that I am neither of 15 counsel nor kin to any party in said action, nor 16 interested in the outcome thereof. 17 18 WITNESS my hand and official seal this 19 14th day of August, 2023 20 21 22 Notary Public 23 24 25